UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,146	07/14/2006	Manabu Sato	0670-7081	4190
31780 ERIC ROBINS	7590 07/23/200 ON	8	EXAMINER	
PMB 955			OBAYANJU, OMONIYI	
21010 SOUTHBANK ST. POTOMAC FALLS, VA 20165			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			4163	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/23/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/586,146	SATO, MANABU			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	OMONIYI A. OBAYANJU	4163			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ju This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 14 July 2006 is/are: a)	relection requirement.	by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11). The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex.	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 07/14/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Application/Control Number: 10/586,146 Page 2

Art Unit: 4163

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: The sentence "performing communication via the via the repeater relay station" needs to be corrected with just one "via the". Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Janky (US Patent No. 5790527) in view of Schmutz (US Publication No. 20010031624).
- 4. As to claims 1, 2, and 3, Janky teaches a communication method used in a wireless communication system (abs) which includes both a repeater relay station of a wireless communication network using repeater system (fig. 2, #18) and a frequency division multiple access (FDMA) relay station of a wireless communication network using FDMA system (fig. 2, #14 and #12), the method comprising the steps of: receiving a call signal from a repeater wireless terminal (fig. 2, #16a) in the wireless communication network using repeater system, by the repeater relay station (fig. 2, #18); setting the forwarded call signal for a control signal at the FDMA relay station (col. 6, lines 40-50); transmitting the control signal to an FDMA wireless terminal (fig. 2, #16b) in the wireless communication network using FDMA system (fig. 2, #12 to #16b);

Application/Control Number: 10/586,146 Page 3

Art Unit: 4163

and performing communication via the repeater relay station (fig. 2, #18) between the FDMA wireless terminal (fig. 2, #16b and fig. 13, FDMA Radios) having received the control signal and the repeater wireless terminal.

5. Janky does not teach forwarding the call signal received by the repeater relay station to the FDMA relay station, but Schmutz teaches a signal transmission between a repeater relay station (Translator repeater station, fig. 1, # 12.1) and FDMA relay station (GSM Base Transceiver station, fig. 1, #15.1) in a telecommunication system. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Janky with the configuration of Schmutz to efficiently acquire a communication session between terminals in a wireless communication network with two different operating systems.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to OMONIYI A. OBAYANJU whose telephone number is (571)270-5885. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Fri, 7:30 - 5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Robinson can be reached on 571-272-2319. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,146 Page 4

Art Unit: 4163

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/O. A. O./ Examiner, Art Unit 4163

/Mark A. Robinson/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 4163